PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/02. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMENRCE

Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays availd OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL	Application Number	10/040,578		
OFFORM	Filing Date	December 28, 2001		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filin	First Named Inventor	David J. Long		
120	Group Art Unit	2192		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5	Examiner Name	PHAM, CHRYSTINE		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5	Attorney Docket Number	50277-1766		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form	Assignment Papers (for an Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attached	Drawing(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Response	Licensing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final	Petition	Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Petition To Convert To a Provisional Application	Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request	Terminal Disclaimer	Return receipt postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement	Request for Refund			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	CD, number of CD(s)			
``	narks			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	J			
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm Hickman Palermo Truor				
Individual name Stephen J. Shaw, Reg.				
Signature				
Date August 28, 2006				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING				
Express Mail" mailing label number <u>EV 897850469 US</u> Date of Deposit: <u>August 28, 2006</u> I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.				
Type or printed name Martina Placid	A A			
Signature // Statement This form a criticated to tak) IRUNO	Date August 28, 2006		

Any comments on the amount of time your are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of:

Confirmation No.: 3641

David J. Long, et al.

Examiner: Pham, C.

Serial No.: 10/040,578

Group Art Unit No.: 2192

Filed on: December 28, 2001

For: PROPERTY BUNDLES ON A PER-

INSTANCE BASIS

MS Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF UNDER 37 CFR § 1.193(b)(1)

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed June 26, 2006, the shortened statutory period for which runs until August 26, 2006. By virtue of August 26, 2006 falling on a Saturday, the shortened statutory period expires on August 28, 2006.

REMARKS

The Applicant respectfully submits to the Honorable Board that the Examiner's Answer does not provide sufficient evidence or reasoning to justify upholding the imposed rejections, for the reasons stated in Applicant's Brief and in this Reply.

I. Attributes are not attribute values

As is well known to those in the computer science field, an attribute is not the same thing as an attribute value. However, from the Examiners' Answer, it appears that the present rejections are the result of confusing "attributes" with "attribute values".

An attribute of a class defines a storage structure that is allocated for all instances of the class. For example, an object class "person" may have an attribute "Age" which is of type Integer. Consequently, all instances of class "person" will include storage for storing an Integer for the attribute Age.

In contrast, an attribute value is the value that is stored in the storage structure of an attribute of an instance of the object class. Thus, an instance of class "person" will have storage for holding an integer for the "Age" attribute. At different points in time the value stored in the Age attribute of an instance may change. However, such changes to attribute values do not change the attributes themselves. Specifically, "Age" does not cease to be an attribute of the class "person" simply because a particular value is stored in the Age attribute of a particular object instance.

With the distinction between "attributes" and "attribute values" in mind, it is believed that the problems with the Examiner's Answer are readily apparent.

Specifically, it should be clear that adding items to a collection does not add attributes to an object instance that contains the collection.

II. Adding items to a collection does not add attributes to the object instance that contains the collection

As a preliminary matter, it is noted that the Applicants and the Examiner agree on a variety of points. For example, both the Applicants and the Examiner agree that:

- o the collection "Orders_for_Customer" is an attribute of the Customer Class 420; and
- o an "order" is not an attribute of class Customer, or any superclass of class Customer

In the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner appears to contend that adding an "order" to the "Orders_for_Customer" collection of an instance of the Customer Class causes the instance of the Customer Class to have an attribute that is not in the Customer Class. However, adding an "order" to the "Orders_for_Customer" collection of an instance of the Customer Class is merely a change in the attribute value of the "Orders_for_Customer" attribute. (The attribute value of a collection attribute is changed by adding or removing items from the collection).

Ng discloses that the Customer Class 420 has an attribute (collection "Orders_for_Customer") that is a collection of the Order Class 424. This attribute is in the Customer Class 420 and each instance of the Customer Class 420. There is nothing in Ng to indicate otherwise.

Just as an instance of the Order Class 424 is not an attribute of the Customer Class 420 (see FIG. 4B), neither is it an attribute of any **instance** of the Customer Class 420. No new attributes are added to the instance, only the value or content of the attribute changes. All instances of the Customer Class 420 have the same attributes, one of which is called collection "Orders for Customer."

The Examiner states, "As can be seen from FIG. 4B, no attributes of Class Customer can be found, which are of type Order." For the Examiner's position to be consistent, attributes of type Order must be found in an instance of Class Customer for Ng to anticipate the claimed feature "associating with said instance of said class an attribute that is not in said class," because the attribute not in said class must be associated with [an] instance of said class.

This is impossible given the Examiner's earlier assertion that "it is the collection of objects representing one or more instances of class Order 424 that is defined as an attribute of class Customer 420, and **not the actual instances** of class Order 424." If the collection does not contain actual instances of class Order 424, the contents of the collection cannot be of type Order.

Thus, either the collection contains actual instances of type Order, in which case the association between the attribute (i.e., order) and an instance of the class Customer causes the actual instance of class Order to become an attribute of class Customer, or the collection does not contain any actual instances of type Order, in which case the claimed feature "associating with said instance of said class an attribute that is not in said class" is not anticipated.

Appellants respectfully submit that the imposed rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) are **not** viable and respectfully solicit the Honorable Board to **reverse** each of the imposed rejections.

Respectfully submitted, HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Date: August 28, 2006

Stephen J. Shaw

Registration No. 56,442

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550 San Jose, California 95110-1089

Tel: (408) 414-1224 Fax: (408) 414-1076

	CERTIFICATE OF M.	AILING		
Express Mail" mailing label	number EV 897850469 US Date of Deposit: August 2	28, 2006		
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.				
Type or printed name	Martina Placid			
Signature	Martina Placed	Date	August 28, 2006	